Tennessee, its shippers and the commission staff will meet on February 22, 1995, to discuss Order No. 636 restructuring issues that have become known after on year's experience under East Tennessee's restructured tariff. The meeting will take place following a technical conference in Docket No. CP95–118–000 which will commence at 9:30 a.m., in Room 2402–A at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 N. Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

For additional information, contact Timothy W. Gordon at (202) 208–2265.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4106 Filed 2–17–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP94–575–000]

El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Site Visit

February 14, 1955.

On February 23 through 24, 1995, members of the environmental staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) will conduct a site visit to facilities being proposed by El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso) in the above docket. The site visit will include an inspection of the proposed route of the San Juan Triangle Expansion Project loop pipeline in San Juan County, New Mexico.

Because the proposed loop would be on allotted, trust, and reservation lands administered by the Navajo Nation, the number of people who may participate in the site visit it limited. Interested parties should contact Jeanene Hafen of El Paso at (915) 541–3410. All parties who attend must provide their own transportation. For any other information, contact Paul Friedman, the FERC Environmental Project Manager, at (202) 208–1108.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4102 Filed 2–17–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP95–159–000]

Florida Gas Transmission Co.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

February 15, 1995.

Take notice that on February 10, 1995, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheet:

Original Sheet No. 125A

FGT states that its currently effective FERC Gas Tariff does not contain provisions for disposition of Unauthorized Gas delivered to FGT's system. Unauthorized Gas is defined in the proposed tariff provisions as volumes of gas delivered to FGT that FGT cannot identify as being delivered pursuant to a transportation nomination on behalf of an existing shipper.

FGT proposes that upon becoming aware of Unauthorized Gas, FGT will post notice on its Electronic Bulletin Board (EBB) the volume, the production month received and the point of receipt of such volumes. From the date of posting, claimants will then have thirty (30) calendar days to provide evidence of ownership. If a valid claim is received in the thirty (30) day period, FGT will purchase the Unauthorized Gas at a price equal to eighty percent (80%) of the Tivoli Index, as contained in the Cash-Out Provisions of Section 14 of the General Terms and Conditions of FGT's tariff, for the month in which the Unauthorized Gas is delivered into FGT or the month of settlement, whichever is less.

FGT states that it shall purchase a maximum of 12,000 MMBtu during a twelve (12) month calendar period from any single claimant or at any single receipt point. FGT has included these provisions to avoid becoming an unwilling ''purchaser of last resort'' for producers willing to accept 80% of the Tivoli Index who might intentionally deliver Unauthorized Gas to FGT. FGT believes the proposed changes provide an efficient and equitable mechanism for resolving Unauthorized Gas.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426 in accordance with §§ 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 23, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4153 Filed 2–17–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP95–197–000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

February 14, 1995.

Take notice that on February 3, 1995, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251–1478 filed in Docket No. CP95–197–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate facilities at an existing meter station site in Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana under Koch Gateway's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–430–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch Gateway proposes to increase natural gas delivery at the existing meter station for serving the Firestone plant on behalf of Koch Gas Services Company (KGSC). The proposed revisions will increase delivery capacity from 2,400 MMBtu to 6,500 MMBtu per day. Koch Gateway states it has been authorized to provide this natural gas transportation service to Firestone on behalf of KGSC under an April 1, 1994 transportation agreement.

Koch Gateway will replace a 2-inch meter with a 3-inch meter and will install a flow computer at an existing meter station site on its Iowa Field-Cities Service Refinery line near Lake Charles in Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana. The delivery tap and meter station construction will be aboveground and entirely within the existing pipeline right of way on Firestone's plant property. The estimated cost is $9,000. Koch Gateway's service provided to KGSC will be interruptible, is within the current certificated level, will have no impact on Koch Gateway's curtailment plan or affect service to other existing customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4108 Filed 2–17–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP95–158–000]

Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

February 15, 1995.

Take notice that on February 10, 1995, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1, Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 14 and Ninth Revised Sheet No. 25, to be effective March 1, 1995.

Natural states that the filing is submitted to commerce recovering effective March 1, 1995, approximately $41.3 million in known and measurable gas supply realignment (GSR) costs which have been incurred by Natural as a consequence of Order Nos. 636, et seq. Natural states that these costs consist of: (1) $29.1 million in pricing differential costs pertaining to the supply which Natural is obligated to purchase from Mitchell Energy Company and $0.6 million for auctions of other gas supply; (2) $6.8 million representing three (3) months' amortization of buyout/buydown costs previously claimed in Natural's GSR filings in Docket Nos. RP94–122–000 and RP94–249–000; and (3) $1.9 million representing the costs associated with coal gasification supplies. Also reflected in the filing are unrecovered GSR costs totaling $1.0 million based upon comparison of the net deferred account balance of costs and recoveries at December 31, 1994.

Natural requested whatever waivers may be necessary to permit the tariff sheets as submitted herein to become effective March 1, 1995.

Natural states that copies of the filing are being mailed to Natural's jurisdiction customers and interested state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 23, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of the filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4152 Filed 2–17–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP93–36–013]

Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

February 15, 1995.

Take notice that on February 10, 1995, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural), filed as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1, First Revised Sheet Nos. 242, 243, 244, 378H and 378I, to be effective March 1, 1995.

Natural states that the purposes of the filing is to comply with the settlement in Docket No. RP93–36–009 approved by Commission letter order dated January 31, 1995. The settlement required Natural to file revisions to sections 6.1 and 7.2 of the General Terms and Conditions (GT&C) regarding contributions-in-aid of construction and section 38.8 of the GT&C regarding crediting of Rate Schedule ITS revenues by Natural to customers which are not parties to Commission-approved settlements on gas supply realignment cost matters.

Natural states that it previously filed tariff sheets setting out base rate levels consistent with the settlement to be effective February 1, 1995, on January 11, 1995 in Docket No. RP93–36–011.

Natural requested waiver of the Commission's Regulations to the extent necessary to permit the tariff sheets to become effective March 1, 1995.

Natural states that copies of the filing are being mailed to the parties to this proceeding, jurisdictional customers and interested state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with § 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests should be filed on or before February 23, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4147 Filed 2–17–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[EL95–28–000]

New York State Electric & Gas Corporation; Notice of Filing

February 14, 1995.

Take notice that on February 14, 1995, New York State Electric & Gas Corporation (NYSEG), filed a Petition, for Declaratory Order, Complaint, and Request for Modification of Rates in Power Purchase Agreements Imposed Pursuant to the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (Petition). The Petition requests that this Commission: (1) issue a declaratory order providing that the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA) prohibits NYSEG from being required to pay rates in excess of its avoided cost for energy purchased from Lockport Energy Associates, L.P. (Lockport) and Saranac Power Partners, L.P. (Saranac) under power purchase agreements mandated pursuant to PURPA; (2) promptly take action to modify the rates under the Lockport and Saranac agreements to insure compliance with PURPA's avoided cost rate ceiling; or (3) refer this matter to the New York Public Service Commission (NYPSC) with a declaration that the Commission invests the NYPSC with the authority and obligation to modify the agreements to conform them to PURPA's rate standard.

NYSEG asserts that its agreements with Lockport and Saranac require NYSEG to purchase energy at rates in excess of its avoided cost, in violation of PURPA's avoided cost rate standard, that such rates have never been acceptable to NYSEG, and that NYSEG entered into its agreements with Lockport and Saranac only after being ordered to do so by the NYPSC.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before March 7, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make